Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,967	YANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOSEPH ROCCA	3616	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>JOSEPH ROCCA</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Jaegyoo Jang</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>14 and 19</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14 and 19, in response to Examiners phone call informing him that these claims were indefinite and unclear under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph, as drafted. This issue was noticed by examiner after the response to Ex Parte Quayle was filed.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Joseph Rocca/ Examiner, Art Unit 3616	/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 3616	